IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO.27197 of 1997

Between:

1 K.V.S. Satyanarayana Murthy s/o. late Janakirammayya
r/o. Tuni, E.G.Dist.
2 Anisetty Markandey Chiranjeevi s/o. Chellaiah
r/o. Kothapet, Tuni, E.G.Dist.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The General Manager, Telecommunications, Fort Gate,
Rajahmundry, E.G. Dist.
2 The Sub Divisional Officer, Telecommunications,
Tuni, E. G. Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the Notification dated 5-3-97 issued by the 1st respondent calling for the applications for allotment of STD/ ISD pts as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the Guidelines of the Respondents Department and issue Consequential direction to re-notify the STD / ISD pts in the 2nd respondent jurisdiction after duly following rule of reservation as per the Guidelines of the respondents department.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.V.V.N.NARAYANA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: MR. A. RAJASHEKAR REDDY (ASST.SOLICITOR GEN.)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Heard both the counsel.

The grievance of the petitioners is that they being physically handicapped and Ex-serviceman respectively, in response to the Notification dated 05.03.1997 of the 1st respondent, made applications with all requisite certificates for allotment of STD/ISD points in
Tuni, East Godavari District, but the respondents without following the rules of reservation rejected their applications and allotted the same to others. Hence, they filed the present writ petition.

A perusal of the counter-affidavit filed by the respondents clearly indicates that as per the Notification the applications received after due date/incomplete and without the support of necessary documents will be rejected and that the application of the first petitioner was rejected on the ground that the unemployment certificate produced by him cannot be taken as a proper document because the President of Lions Club of Tuni, who issued the said document, is not listed in the review policy letter on STD/ISD PCOs issued by the Department of Telecom., New Delhi in letter No.31-30/91-PHB, dated 24.07.1993. It also indicates that the application of the second petitioner was treated as invalid, as he did not enclose any unemployment certificate to the same.

In that view of the matter, I see no reasons to interfere with the decision taken by the respondents in rejecting the applications of the petitioners for allotment of STD/ISD points in question. The writ petition is devoid of merit and the same is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 29.03.2005

Nsr

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The General Manager, Telecommunications, Fort Gate, Rajahmundry, E.G. Dist.
2 The Sub Divisional Officer, Telecommunications, Tuni, E. G. Dist.

3 Two CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**KCS**}*